

Assessment Methods for Small-hydro Projects


IEA Technical Report



IEA Hydropower
Agreement




CANADA




CHINA



FINLAND



FRANCE



JAPAN



NORWAY



SPAIN



SWEDEN



UNITED
KINGDOM

OVERVIEW OF THE IEA IMPLEMENTING AGREEMENT FOR HYDROPOWER TECHNOLOGIES AND PROGRAMMES

The Hydropower Implementing Agreement is a collaborative programme among nine countries: Canada, China, Finland, France, Japan, Norway, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom. These countries are represented by various organizations including electric utilities, government departments and regulatory organizations, electricity research organizations, and universities. The overall objective is to improve both technical and institutional aspects of the existing hydropower industry, and to increase the future deployment of hydropower in an environmentally and socially responsible manner.

HYDROPOWER

Hydropower is the only renewable energy technology which is presently commercially viable on a large scale. It has four major advantages: it is renewable, it produces negligible amounts of greenhouse gases, it is the least costly way of storing large amounts of electricity, and it can easily adjust the amount of electricity produced to the amount demanded by consumers. Hydropower accounts for about 17 % of global generating capacity, and about 20 % of the energy produced each year.

ACTIVITIES

Four tasks are operational, they are: 1. upgrading of hydropower installations, 2. small scale hydropower, 3. environmental and social impacts of hydropower, and 4. training in hydropower. Most tasks have taken about five years to complete, they started in March 1994 and the results will be available in May 2000. To date, the work and publications of the Agreement have been aimed at professionals in the respective fields.

UPGRADING

The upgrading of existing hydropower installations is by far the lowest cost renewable energy available today. It can sometimes provide additional energy at less than one tenth the cost of a new project. One task force of the Agreement is studying certain technical issues related to upgrading projects.

SMALL SCALE HYDROPOWER

Advances in fully automated hydropower installations and reductions in manufacturing costs have made small scale hydropower increasingly attractive. The small scale hydropower task force will provide supporting information to facilitate the development of new projects.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL ISSUES

For some hydropower projects the environmental and social impacts have been the subject of vigorous debate. There is a need to communicate objective information to the public, so that countries can make good decisions with respect to hydropower projects. The environmental task force will provide such information on possible social and environmental impacts and on mitigation measures.

TRAINING

The availability of well-trained personnel is a key requirement in the hydropower sector. The training task force is concentrating on training in operations and maintenance, and planning of hydro power projects.

THE INTERNATIONAL ENERGY AGENCY – IMPLEMENTING AGREEMENT FOR
HYDROPOWER TECHNOLOGIES AND PROGRAMMES

Assessment Methods for Small-hydro Projects

E M Wilson, D.Sc., FICE, FASCE

April, 2000

Table of Contents

OTHER TECHNICAL REPORTS IN THIS SERIES.....	ix
Acknowledgements.....	xi
1 Introduction	1
2 Report on Assessment of Small Hydro-electric Development at Existing Facilities, US Dept. of Interior. Water and Power Resources service July 1980	2
3 Civil Engineering Guidelines for Planning and Designing Hydroelectric Developments: ASCE Small Scale Hydro, Vol 4 (1989) USA	3
4 Remote Small Hydro Reconnaissance Methodology Vol. 1 (1996), Ottawa Engineering Ltd, Canada.....	3
5 IMP (4.0a) Integrated Method for Power Analysis (1999), Charles Howard & Associates Ltd and Natural Resources, Canada.....	4
6 RETScreen TM : Pre-feasibility Analysis Software (1999), Natural Resources, Canada: Canmet, Energy Diversification Research Lab.....	5
7 The PROPHETE method of site evaluation for small hydro stations, BRGM and ADEME, France	6
8 Peach 2.0 Software for investigation and design of small hydro projects, ISL Bureau d'Ingenieurs Conseils, France	7
9 Hydra Software for the feasibility study of small hydro plants, The European Atlas of Small Hydropower Potential, Institute of Hydrology, UK and the European Small-scale Hydropower Association (ESHA)	8
10 Conclusions.....	11
11 Appendix I - Integrated Method for Power (IMP).....	13
12 Appendix 2 – RETScreen TM , Pre-feasibility Analysis Software, Hydro Version 99-Beta.....	20
13 Appendix 3 – Prophete Database, Inventory of potential sites for micro power stations.....	26
14 Appendix 4 - PEACH 2.0, Analyse préliminaire des aménagements hydroélectriques	32
15 Appendix 5 – Hydra, The European Atlas of Small Hydropower Potential	54

OTHER TECHNICAL REPORTS IN THIS SERIES

HYDRO POWER UPGRADING TASK FORCE (ANNEX 1)

Guidelines on Methodology for Hydroelectric Turbine Upgrading by Runner Replacement – 1998
(available to non-participants at a cost of US \$ 1,000 per copy)

Guidelines on Methodology for the Upgrading of Hydroelectric Generators – to be completed in May 2000.

Guidelines on Methodology for the Upgrading of Hydropower Control Systems – to be completed in 2000.

SMALL SCALE HYDRO POWER TASK FORCE (ANNEX 2)

Small Scale Hydro Assessment Methodologies – to be completed in May 2000 (available to non-participants on request)

Research and Development Priorities for Small Scale Hydro Projects – to be completed in May 2000
(available to non-participants on request)

Financing Options for Small Scale Hydro Projects – to be completed in May 2000 (available to non-participants on request)

Global database on small hydro sites available on the Internet at:
www.small-hydro.com

ENVIRONMENT TASK FORCE (ANNEX 3)

Survey on Positive and Negative Environmental and Social Impacts and the Effects of Mitigation Measures in Hydropower Development – 2000 (available to non-participants on request)

A Comparison of the Environmental Impacts of Hydropower with those of Other Generation Technologies – 2000 (available to non-participants on request)

Legal Frameworks, Licensing Procedures, and Guidelines for Environmental Impact Assessments of Hydropower developments – 2000 (available to non-participants on request)

Hydropower and the Environment: Present Context and Guidelines for Future Action

Volume 1: Summary and Recommendations

Volume 2 : Main Report

Volume 3 : Appendices

– 2000 (available to non-participants on request)

Guidelines for the Impact Management of Hydropower and Water Resources Projects – 2000 (available to non-participants on request)

EDUCATION AND TRAINING TASK FORCE (ANNEX 5)

(All of the following reports are available on the Internet at www.annexv.iea.org Some reports may consist of more than one volume.)

Summary of Results of the Survey of Current Education and Training Practices in Operation and Maintenance – 1998 (available to non-participants on request)

Development of Recommendations and Methods for Education and Training in Hydropower Operation and Maintenance - 2000 (available to non-participants on request)

Survey of Current Education and Training Practice in Hydropower Planning – 1998 (available to non-participants on request)

Structuring of Education and Training Programmes in Hydropower Planning, and Recommendations on Teaching Material and Reference Literature - 2000 (available to non-participants on request)

Guidelines for Creation of Digital Lectures – 2000 (available to non-participants on request)

Evaluation of tests – Internet Based Distance Learning – 2000 – (available to non-participants on request)

BROCHURE

A brochure for the general public is available. It is entitled “Hydropower – a Key to Prosperity in the Growing World”, and can be found on the Internet (www.usbr.gov/power/data/data.htm) or it can be obtained from the Secretary (address on the inside back cover).

Acknowledgements

The contributions through the provision of software, comment and discussion from all of the institutions and companies mentioned in this report are gratefully acknowledged.

The following reviews of each program are the opinions of the writer and are not necessarily those of the International Energy Agency or other members of the Annex II group of the Hydropower Agreement.

1 Introduction

Small-scale hydro development is often fraught with difficulty. Because it involves diverting water from its natural course in most cases, problems often arise with riparian owners, fisheries, environment agencies, planning departments, licensing authorities, electrical utilities, wildlife protection societies and the general public. All of these have interests to be defended and the developer must expend time and money in preparing arguments and in finding the best solutions to objections. Unfortunately, the developer generally has a small resource and a prospective source of revenue that is inadequate to hire the necessary expertise in engineering and legislation to present his case fairly.

Over the last decade a variety of computer based assessment tools have been developed To address this problem and enable a prospective developer to make an initial assessment of the economic feasibility of a project before spending substantial sums of money. These range from simple first estimates to quite sophisticated programs. Civil engineers and hydrologists with the aid of grants from governments and institutions who wish to encourage renewable energy generation have developed most of these programs. The Kyoto Agreement of 1997 has helped to increase the need for such programs.

The object of these software programs is to find a rapid and reasonably accurate means of predicting the energy output of a particular hydro scheme. These predictions involve establishing the 'head' or vertical distance that water can be dropped, and the incidence, in time and magnitude, of the quantity of water to be used. The first of these is a relatively simple matter of physical measurement together with some hydraulic loss calculations concerning pipe materials and water velocities, etc. The second is much more difficult and it is this part of the problem that is most intractable. There are two main approaches, the flow duration curve (fdc) and the simulated streamflow (ssf) methods. Both methods are used in the programs described in this review.

In the fdc method, catchment characteristics are collected; e.g. area, monthly or annual rainfall, evaporation and soil type. These parameters are then used, through a water balance, to estimate the mean flow of the catchment and to select a typical fdc for the catchment from a range of approximately 30 dimensionless fdc's. This selection is based on a comparison of certain standardised hydrological statistics. With these established a synthesised fdc is drawn, residual flow superimposed and a value of rated discharge selected (by default, the mean flow). The program then suggests the type(s) of turbine to use and automatically calculates the annual energy for the type(s) selected.

The ssf approach, as used in the IMP program, uses recorded discharge data or a simulated run-off record synthesised by time-series analysis using weather data and topography if recored discharge data is missing. Based on this continuous discharge record, energy output may be calculated daily, or hourly if required. Additional benefits of this approach include flood

frequency curves (though flood frequency is available from a fdc also) and indications of the time lengths of high and low flow periods. The other features of the fdc method are also available.

Generally there is no clear advantage apparent for either method. However, if a reliable long-term record exists, ideally 10 years, then the IMP method would be the most appropriate. In the absence of such a record, which is usually the case, the question then is whether the inaccuracies of time series simulation based only on weather and topography are greater or lesser than the inaccuracies of selecting an fdc on the basis of mean flow obtained by a water balance and a hydrological statistic (usually Q_{95}).

For countries with dense networks of meteorological stations and long term statistics, such as the European countries that the HydrA program covers, the HydrA method looks appropriate.

The Canadian RETScreenTM, still under development, is an fdc method, which promises to be a valuable addition to the range of available programs. RETScreenTM can be applied internationally, as can IMP. HydrA, on the other hand, applies only to the countries for which it is written as the program contains all of the meteorological data required for analysis.

The French programs Prophete and Peach depend on the transposition of hydrometric data from the nearest catchment areas for which records exist to the particular catchment under study. This data is corrected for area and, therefore, is the most likely source of error. Subsequent procedures tend to be based on the ssf method rather than the fdc method.

Many of the programs, particularly RETScreenTM, incorporate costing and financial analysis modules. These are conventional in form, however, for the average user, they are extremely valuable and convenient. PEACH, the only commercially sold program, goes further and offers a design function for the whole project. However, this has not been reviewed as only a demonstration disc was available for this review.

IMP, RETScreenTM, HydrA and Prophete are all available free of charge or at nominal cost to cover hardware and supply costs.

2 Report on Assessment of Small Hydro-electric Development at Existing Facilities, US Dept. of Interior. Water and Power Resources service July 1980

The methodology used in this report was to derive a flow-duration curve from observed flow data. It was assumed that the turbo-generator unit was capable of operating under all flow conditions and had constant efficiency over this range. Variation in tail-water levels was not taken into account. Average monthly heads were used to derive power-duration curves. This is a simple appraisal-only method and does not address the problem of the ungauged site.

Useful methods for evaluation of environmental considerations and social impact at small hydro sites are described in the report.

In 1991 a software version of assessment methods was issued by the US Dept. of known as HES. However, as the User Manual states "*The HES is not intended to provide accurate potential development factors for individual sites. It can be used to provide regional or state totals.--*" Energy (US DOE, 1991). The HES does however model local concerns affecting hydropower development when environmental, legal and institutional constraints to development are present.

HES is not a tool for the individual developer but may be valuable to users in the US. Its application elsewhere would be very limited.

Later versions of Hydropower Resource Assessment appeared in December, 1998 (US DOE, 1998)

Further information on these methods is available from: Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, P O Box 1625, Idaho Falls, ID 83415, U.S.A.

3 Civil Engineering Guidelines for Planning and Designing Hydroelectric Developments: ASCE Small Scale Hydro, Vol 4 (1989) USA

This guide describes the hydrologic analysis based on WATSTORE - the U.S.G.S.'s National Water Data Storage and Retrieval system. WATSTORE contains the entire historical record of all active and retired gauging stations in the U.S., together with computer programs and routines which can produce, inter alia, flow duration curves for any part, or all of the record.

If no record exists near to a proposed site, a streamflow record from a nearby gauge may be adjusted by reference to catchment area, topography, soils and precipitation pattern. The method lays emphasis on the need for tail-water rating curves for projects at in-stream dams.

The flow-duration curve method described for the calculation of power and energy is more sophisticated than previous citations and includes consideration of residual flow and minimum turbine flows but still uses average efficiencies for turbine, generator, transformer, etc. over the whole flow range.

The Guide's Chapter 8 (Environmental Mitigation and Facility Design) is a valuable introduction to those writing EIAs.

Available from: American Society of Civil Engineers, Publications Dept, 1801 Alexander Bell Drive, Reston, Virginia 20191-440, U.S.A.

4 Remote Small Hydro Reconnaissance Methodology Vol. 1 (1996), Ottawa Engineering Ltd, Canada

The hydrologic assessment uses a 'flow factor' for ungauged sites which is the ratio of the area of the ungauged catchment to that of a nearby gauged one for which records are available. These records are then multiplied by the flow factor to produce a synthetic record for the ungauged site.

The method does not take soil type or precipitation into account and should therefore only be used for a first approximation.

For further information on this method visit Ottawa Engineering Limited's website at www.ottawaengineering.com

5 IMP (4.0a) Integrated Method for Power Analysis (1999), Charles Howard & Associates Ltd and Natural Resources, Canada.

IMP is a tool for evaluating small scale hydroelectric power sites, developed by Charles Howard & Associates of Vancouver, Canada in association with Natural Resources, Canada.

With IMP, and the relevant meteorological and topographical data, an experienced user can evaluate an ungauged hydro site within about one day of office studies, including a power study, powerhouse and penstock optimisation, fish habitat analysis and development of a flood frequency curve.

IMP is a package of computer programs for small scale hydro projects and for other hydrological applications. It is useful to non-specialists exploring possibilities for small hydro development, for university teaching, and for consulting engineers who need preliminary estimates of flood frequency and energy potential. As would be expected, it is particularly designed for and applicable to Canada.

The software includes tips about hydrologic analysis of ungauged sites. Recorded streamflow data is not essential in that IMP uses topographic and daily weather data which the user inputs to perform flood frequency analysis and to synthesise hourly and daily stream flow and reservoir operations. This data may be acquired from within databases in the program for many sites in N. America or may be input directly by the user. Weighted useable area theory is used to assess stream habitat for fish. This module dealing with fish habitat, is innovative and most useful. The program also contains modules in which proposed power projects are optimised, based on the value of energy and the cost of construction.

Although the program summary describes the program as easy to use, it requires an experienced user to find his way about it. Its use would be greatly enhanced if a clearly written User Manual was available.

To give an impression of the scope and methodology of the program, print-outs of some of the example outputs are included in Appendix 1.

Further information on IMP may be obtained directly from Charles Howard & Associates Ltd, 239 Menzies St, Suite 210, Victoria B.C., V8V 2G6, Canada or www.chal.bc.ca

6 RETScreen™: Pre-feasibility Analysis Software (1999), Natural Resources, Canada: Canmet, Energy Diversification Research Lab.

The RETScreen™ small hydro project is one of a series of renewable energy analysis tools provided by Natural Resources Canada. It may be down-loaded free-of-charge from the Internet (subject to acceptance by the user of a licence agreement) at [http:// retscreen.gc.ca](http://retscreen.gc.ca). It is designed “*to help energy project proponents identify and evaluate, relatively quickly and at low cost, the most viable near-term opportunities for cost-effective RETs project implementation.*”

This reviewer has down-loaded and used the small-hydro software. However, in reading the comments which follow it is important to bear in mind that the program is designed for Canadian use, particularly in remote communities and a non-Canadian reviewer is commenting from an international standpoint. However, the latest version of the hydro program within RETScreen™ is designed to be of more universal application.

The version used for this review was RETScreen™ 99-Beta version. This is currently being upgraded to include further financial analysis and foreign currencies to allow its use in different countries. This upgraded hydro program is expected to be released in October 2000 and will be called RETScreen™ 2000.

Down-loading from the Internet

This process is only possible for RETScreen™ 99 or RETScreen™ 2000, for users with Microsoft Excel 97 or higher. Once the program is available on the user's hard disk, it is straightforward to use. It is divided into five modules - Energy, Hydrology and Load Calculation, Equipment Data, Cost Analysis and Financial Analysis.

Energy, Hydrology & Load Calculation, Equipment Data

A review of these three modules in the 99-Beta version has prompted the following comments:

- The energy model is presented first, although its logical position would be after Hydrology, Load and Equipment Data.
- The Hydrology analysis offers user-input of residual flow and a specific flow duration curve in both tabular and graphic form. However, it does not tell the user the mean flow which he needs to estimate the design turbine flow.
- Hydrology parameters listed include “*Firm flow*” which is “*generally defined as the flow available at least 95% of the time*”. This value is recognised internationally as Q_{95} .
- The Equipment Data module offers the user the opportunity to input turbine efficiency v % rated flow for particular turbine types, which is a useful procedure as the default values are optimistic.
- The reference to a turbine manufacture/design coefficient is not understood or explained.

Cost Analysis

Cost analysis is comprehensively treated in a spreadsheet format and is offered on a *formula* basis or by a *direct, user input* means. The former is likely to be of greatest applicability in Canada, but is, in any case, of interest for comparison. The only modifications which might be suggested are, firstly, to provide for *pipeline* costs in terms of *metres length* instead of, or as well as *kg*. This could then incorporate costs of trenching and anchor blocks as well as the use of different materials. Secondly *Contingencies* might be quoted in two categories: *Civil engineering 10 - 15 %* and *Mech. and Elec. Engineering 5%*

Financial Analysis

This is a standard spreadsheet which appears particularly appropriate for N. America. However for wider use it might offer as an alternative, in the *Financial Parameters* section

<i>Net annual production</i>	<i>kWh</i>
<i>Rate per kWh</i>	<i>c. (or p etc)</i>
<i>Gross annual income from electricity sale</i>	<i>\$(etc)</i>
<i>Embedded generation value</i>	<i>kW @ \$ /kW = \$(etc)</i>
<i>Gross annual income</i>	<i>\$(etc)</i>

instead of , or in addition to, the three *Avoided costs*.

Notwithstanding the various suggestions made above, the RETScreen™ hydro program is a very impressive one, which will be of great value to hydro developers everywhere as further, more refined versions appear. According to its developers it is already in use quite widely in N. America and there is no doubt it will become popular internationally as it becomes more widely known. The various spreadsheets , for a typical example, are shown in Appendix 2.

Further information about RETScreen™ may be obtained from the Web site or by mail from CANMET Energy Diversification Research Lab., 1615 Lionel-Boulet PO Box 4800, Varennes, PQ Canada J3X 1S6

7 The PROPHETE method of site evaluation for small hydro stations, BRGM and ADEME, France

The PROPHETE method, which is used in France, allows the evaluation of site potential for small hydro stations. A non-specialist user can estimate potential hydroelectric production anywhere in France, as a function of catchment characteristics and proposed equipment.

Two methods of assessing flows are possible :- a comparison with neighbouring watercourses in the database as a function of catchment area (which is available for the whole country), or an automatic calculation of the flows from a hydrologic model based on basin rainfall and

predetermined averaged parameters derived from available detailed studies in eleven Departements.

After the estimation of a series of monthly flows by one of these two methods, the database allows the user to simulate automatically a small hydro station using a prescribed head (which may vary with the flow) and the turbine characteristics (proposed by the computer but which may be changed). It also permits calculation, with annual variation as required, of monthly production and revenues, based on actual selling prices of energy to the EDF grid,- prices which may be altered as required by the user.

A fuller description of the method in both English and French is given in Appendix 3.

Further information on PROPHETE may be obtained from ADEME, 500 Route des Lucioles, Valbonne, France 06560

8 Peach 2.0 Software for investigation and design of small hydro projects, ISL Bureau d'Ingenieurs Conseils, France

This is a sophisticated program designed by the French consulting firm ISL of Paris and Montpellier and is offered for sale. Current prices are quoted at the end of Appendix 4. The program is designed to take a developer through all the necessary procedures in designing, building and commissioning a small hydro scheme and analysing the financial returns which may be expected. To do this the user is led through six distinct steps:

- **Step 1** Site Data Definition,
- **Step 2** Project Creation,
- **Step 3** Project Design,
- **Step 4** Plant Design,
- **Step 5** Economic and Financial Analysis ,
- **Step 6** Report.

This reviewer has only had access to a demonstration version of the program from which the Appendix 4 has been down-loaded. Accordingly it is not possible to comment on the ease of use nor to suggest modifications or improvements for international use. However, the content of the program as evidenced by the various sections listed below and the figures from the demonstration disc indicate that it is a powerful, though expensive, tool.

Main characteristics

Site, Project and Design parameter set definition

Power curve

Power curve and main results

Construction costs

Bill of quantities	
Cost flows	Yearly cash flow
Economic analysis	Economic analysis graphic results
Financial analysis	Financial analysis graphic results.

Further information about PEACH may be obtained from ISL, Bureau d'Ingenieurs Conseils, Paris France.

9 Hydra Software for the feasibility study of small hydro plants, The European Atlas of Small Hydropower Potential, Institute of Hydrology, UK and the European Small-scale Hydropower Association (ESHA)

The HydrA software

HydrA has been developed to run on IBM compatible PCs within a Microsoft Windows environment. Aimed at hydropower consultants, electricity utilities, environmental agencies and investors, the design principle for the software was that it should be easy to use by those with minimal hydrological knowledge. This is helped by the provision of a man-machine interface (MMI) which allows the user to navigate the software simply by using a mouse to point and click onto a series of pull-down menus or screen-icons.

The software broadly follows procedures laid out in the "Layman's Guidebook on how to develop a small hydro site" (ESHA, 1994). It incorporates regional flow estimation models, which allow a synthetic flow duration curve (fdc) to be derived at any site in the eight European countries, and methods for determining hydropower potential from the fdc. The regional models are derived from a multi-variate regression analysis of long-term river flow data and key catchment characteristics, as described in Gustard et al. (1998). The software is also able to calculate the hydropower potential of sites where gauged river flow data is available.

HydrA comprises four main modules:

Catchment Characteristics Module

This module enables the user to estimate the physical characteristics of the ungauged catchment. A pre-requisite is for the user to enter the upstream catchment boundary for the proposed site as a set of (x,y) co-ordinate pairs. Embedded within the software are 1 km x 1 km grids of average annual rainfall, potential (or actual) evaporation and a standardised low flow statistic (typically the 95 or 90 percentile flow (Q_{95}/Q_{90})). HydrA overlays the user-defined boundary onto the grids to determine a catchment estimate of average annual rainfall, evaporation (potential or actual) and the low flow statistic. The estimates of rainfall and evaporation are used to calculate the mean flow for the site (Gustard et al., 1998).

Flow Regime Estimation module

This module takes mean flow and the low flow statistic (Q_{95} or Q_{90}), calculated in the Catchment Characteristics module, to derive a synthetic flow duration curve for the site. The Q_{95} (or Q_{90}), when standardised by the mean flow, provides a good indication of the hydrological response of a catchment. A high value of Q_{95} relative to the mean flow generally represents a permeable

catchment that is characterised by a flat flow duration curve. Conversely, a low value of Q_{95} (relative to the mean flow) would represent an impermeable catchment with a steep flow duration curve (see Figure 2). For a given estimate of Q_{95} (or Q_{90}), HydrA will automatically refer to a set of flow duration type curves and identify an appropriate flow duration curve for the site. The “synthetic” curve characterises the flow regime at the proposed site and is used as the basis for all subsequent hydropower calculations.

Turbine Selection module

Having established a characteristic flow duration curve of the site, the software then determines how much of the water can be used for power generation. First the residual flow must be entered by the user. This is the minimum flow that must be maintained in the river to sustain the ecology and the requirements of downstream consumers. Any flow above this value could be used for generation. However, no turbine is able to operate efficiently in all conditions. Some can only operate upwards from about 40% of their maximum design (rated) flow. The larger the rated flow, the larger the cut-off at low flow. Within the software, the rated discharge is provisionally set at the level of the mean flow, although this may be altered by the user. The useable part of the flow duration curve is defined by the residual flow, the rated flow and the minimum turbine flow.

The user is also required to enter the gross (hydraulic) head that is available at the site. Head losses may also be considered by entering an estimate of the nett head as a proportion of the gross head. By default, the nett head is assumed to be 93% of the gross.

The software contains typical operational envelopes and flow v efficiency curves for eight common types of turbines:- Cross flow; Francis Open Flume; Francis Spiral Case; Kaplan; Pelton; Propeller; Semi-Kaplan and Turgo. Given the stated conditions, the software selects the appropriate turbines for the hydropower calculations.

Power Potential Module

This module calculates the hydropower potential of the site. For each selected turbine, average annual energy potential and peak power generation are calculated by combining information from the useable part of the flow duration curve with the flow-efficiency relationship, hydraulic head and the specific weight of water.

The final output from the HydrA software is a single sheet report giving estimates of gross and nett annual average energy output (MWh), maximum power output (kW) and rated capacity (kW) for each of the selected turbines. By comparing the performance of each turbine the user is able to make an informed decision on which turbine is appropriate for the site. The output can be written to a file and, if necessary, used in other external packages for economic assessment.

The user may find the software confusing the first time used, but experienced users can obtain synthetic flow duration curves and estimated annual energy outputs for applicable turbines in very short times.

Although the concepts and methodology on which HydrA is based are sound and the meteorological and geological information within the program for each country is exceptional, the software is not particularly user-friendly. The various modules do not follow each other

without prompting. Names are limited to 8 characters and generally inexperienced users become frustrated. Some program upgrading to eliminate these irritations is required.

HydrA would be further improved by allowing the user to modify the various turbine discharge v efficiency curves incorporated in the program, as he can with the flow duration curve selected automatically. Other improvements would allow hydraulic losses to be evaluated by a sub-program for inlet, pipeline and valve losses and possibly the installation of more than one turbine.

Comparison of the RETScreen™99-Beta and HydrA energy analyses was made for a Scottish catchment where the HydrA derived flow duration curve was entered in RETScreen™. The standard generic efficiency curves in both programs were left unchanged, although these differ to some extent. Rated flow and residual flows were made the same. The resulting annual energy values were obtained :-

Mean flow 1.90 m³/s Residual flow 0.27 m³/s Rated turbine flow 1.63 m³/s
 Gross hydraulic head 65.0 m Net hydraulic head 58.5 m

Applicable Turbines	Gross Annual Av. Ouput MWh	Net Annual Av. Output MWh	Maximum Power Output kW	Rated Capacity kW	Minimum Operational Flow m³/s
RETScreen™					
Francis		3092		819.0	
Crossflow		2936		745.0	
Turgo		3125		758.0	
HydrA					
Francis	3270.3	3107	858.7	824.4	0.76
Crossflow	3072.7	2919	748.3	700.5	0.51
Turgo	3163.1	3005	809.1	728.2	0.43

It may be concluded from this simple test that there is little difference in the energy calculations

10 Conclusions

All these methods, except IMP and possibly Prophete, are based on firstly producing a flow duration curve for a site where flow records are unavailable. Most are designed for particular geographical regions and generally depend on nearby recorded flow values adjusted for variations in catchment area and annual rainfall.

The IMP method used recorded streamflow to calculate energy on a daily (or hourly) basis which is a good solution when a streamflow record of sufficient length is available, but if such a record has to be synthesised for an ungauged site, it is not obviously preferable to the use of a synthesised fdc. If such an fdc is divided into narrow 5% (or less) slices, then the discharge-head combination can be used with turbine/generator efficiencies in a similar way to the streamflow method for each 'slice' as is done in HydrA. The difference in energy calculated by both methods is almost certainly within the margins of error in discharge measurement and efficiency estimation. One area where the IMP procedure is preferable is in assessing reservoir operations, where the streamflow record allows reservoir levels to be simulated in time.

There is one other method in use in Canada, the Acres 1994 method, which uses 'contours' of Mean Annual Runoff (MAR), and subsequent mathematical curve-forming equations. This is an ingenious solution but it depends on the accuracy of the MAR map. It was not possible to review this method as the program was not available.

Some of the programs go on to tackle the economics of building based on average costs of components used in actual schemes, and these are useful as first order estimates. However, so much depends on the site conditions, access and the proximity of electrical networks, that too much reliance should not be placed on them. The RETScreenTM program however provides a very good cost estimate template and cost comparison facility which is extremely useful, as are the financial listings which are a great help in making economic analyses.

Where a region has high-quality records of precipitation, evaporation, soil type and topography and adequate surface water run-off records over all or most of the territory, there is probably not much difference in the results produced. However, when there are few actual flow records, the HydrA system can still be used in those European countries for which it has been analysed and established. These are:- U.K., Spain, Portugal, Italy, Ireland, Belgium and Austria.

References

1. *Uniform Criteria for U.S. Hydropower Resource Assessment: Hydropower Evaluation Software User`s Manual* : (Available on 3½ in. diskette) US Dept of Energy, Idaho Operations Office (Oct 1991)
2. *U.S. Hydropower Resource Assessment Final Report* : US Dept of Energy, Idaho Operations Office (Dec 1998)
3. *Extract from *RETSCREEN Executive Summary*
4. Gustard et al :*Developing regional design techniques for estimating hydropower potential. International Symposium on Hydrology of Ungauged Streams in Hilly Regions for Small Hydropower Development, March 9-10 1998, New Delhi,*

11 Appendix I - Integrated Method for Power (IMP)

*The following figures have been downloaded from IMP CD 4.0a,
© Charles Howard & Associates Ltd*

INTEGRATED METHOD FOR POWER ANALYSIS (IMP)

IMP is an easy-to use package of computer programs for small scale hydro projects and for other hydrological applications. It is useful to non-specialists exploring possibilities for small hydro developments, for university teaching, and for consulting engineers who need preliminary estimates of flood frequency and energy potential.

The software includes tips about hydrologic analysis of ungaged sites. Stream flow data are not required. IMP uses topographic and daily weather data to perform flood frequency analysis and to simulate hourly and daily stream flow and reservoir operations. Weighted useable area theory is used to assess stream habitat. Proposed power projects are optimized based on the value of energy and the cost of construction.

COMPONENTS OF IMP

A knowledge based program uses topographic and weather information to **generate flood frequency curves for ungaged sites**.

The watershed model generates a **continuous hourly or daily time series of stream flow** for an ungaged site based on daily precipitation, maximum and minimum temperature and a description of the basin.

The **hydroelectric power simulation program** determines the hourly or daily energy output for a run-of-river or reservoir storage site. It uses recorded stream flow data or the hydrologic time series generated by the watershed model. Sensitivity analysis and economic data provide an estimate of the **optimal installed capacity**.

Fish habitat is assessed by calculation of weighted usable area for selected fish types and cross sections. Simulation with stream flow data provides time series and frequency of weighted useable area vs. discharge.


Graphical tools plot time series and frequency results. Data management tools allow easy input of additional meteorological and stream flow data. IMP operates on a PC in the **Microsoft Windows environment**.

Charles Howard & Associates, Ltd.
Professional Water Resources Engineers


239 Menzies St., Victoria, B.C. V8V 2G6 Canada
[http:// www.chal.bc.ca](http://www.chal.bc.ca)

Victoria Tel: 250-385-0206, Fax: 250-385-7737
Seattle Tel: 425-451-0262, Fax: 425-451-8850

StreamFlow Simulation



Flood Frequency Simulation



Weighted Usable Area vs. Discharge

Weighted Usable Area vs Discharge [X]

Streamflow Data

Simulated Observed

Powerhouse Inflow [v]

Starting Date: January 1, 1975 [v]

Ending Date: December 31, 1980 [v]


Fish Type: Brown Trout [v]

Development Stage: Adult [v]


Cross Section: Red Deer River Near Bindloss [v]

[Simulate]


Stream Geometry Data




Weighted Usable Area



View Turbines



Power Study Simulation Results



12 Appendix 2 – RETScreen™, Pre-feasibility Analysis Software, Hydro Version 99-Beta

Energy Model


RETScreen Energy Model - Small Hydro Project

Site Conditions		Estimate	Notes/Range
Project name		Example	-
Project location		Alberta	-
Gross head	m	31.6	Measured value
Maximum tailwater effect	m	5	See manual
Residual flow	m ³ /s	1	Complete Hydrology & Load sheet
Firm flow	m ³ /s	1.40	See Hydrology & Load sheet
Peak load (electrical)	kW	1,146	See Hydrology & Load sheet
Energy demand (electrical)	MWh	5,065	See Hydrology & Load sheet

System Characteristics		Estimate	Notes/Range
Grid type	-	Isolated-grid	See Hydrology & Load sheet
Design flow	m ³ /s	10	Project specific
Turbine type	-	Francis	Complete Equipment Data sheet
Number of turbines	turbine	1	See Equipment Data sheet
Turbine efficiency at design flow	%	92.4%	See Equipment Data sheet
Maximum hydraulic losses	%	5%	See manual
Generator efficiency	%	95%	93% to 97%
Transformer losses	%	1%	1% to 2%
Parasitic electricity losses	%	2%	1% to 3%
Annual downtime losses	%	5%	2% to 7%

Annual Energy Production		Estimate	Notes/Range
Small hydro plant capacity	kW	2,507	Project specific
	MW	2.507	For user convenience
Small hydro plant firm capacity	kW	0	Project specific
Available flow adjustment factor	-	1.0	See manual
Small hydro plant capacity factor	%	37%	40% to 95%
Renewable energy available	MWh	8,091	Project specific
Renewable energy delivered	MWh	2,845	Project specific
	GJ	10240	For user convenience
Excess RE available	MWh	5,246	Project specific

Available Flow, Flow Used and Available Power



Hydrology & Load

RETScreen™ Hydrology Analysis and Load Calculation - Small Hydro Project

Hydrology Analysis		Estimate	Notes/Range
Project type		Run-of-river	
Hydrology method		User-defined	See manual
Hydrology Parameters			
Residual flow		1	Project specific
Percent time firm flow available	%	95%	90% to 100%
Firm flow	m ³ /s	1.40	
Flow-Duration Curve Data			
Time	Flow		
(%)	(m ³ /s)		
0%	79.00		
5%	26.00		
10%	20.00		
15%	16.00		
20%	12.00		
25%	9.00		
30%	7.00		
35%	6.00		
40%	5.00		
45%	4.20		
50%	4.10		
55%	4.00		
60%	3.20		
65%	2.70		
70%	2.50		
75%	2.10		
80%	1.80		
85%	1.60		
90%	1.50		
95%	1.40		
###	1.20		

Flow-Duration Curve

Load Characteristics		Estimate	Notes/Range
Grid type		Isolated-grid	See manual
Load Conditions			
Load duration curve		User-defined	See manual
Peak load (electrical)	kW	1,146	Project specific
Load-Duration Curve Data			
Time	Load		
(%)	(kW)		
0%	1,146		
5%	917		
10%	860		
15%	802		
20%	779		
25%	745		
30%	711		
35%	688		
40%	653		
45%	619		
50%	584		
55%	562		
60%	527		
65%	493		
70%	458		
75%	413		
80%	367		
85%	321		
90%	252		
95%	183		
###	115		

Load-Duration Curve

		Annual	Daily	
Energy demand (electrical)	MWh	5,065	13.9	Project specific
Average load factor	%	50%	50%	Project specific

[Return to Energy Model sheet](#)

Equipment Data


RETScreen™ Equipment Data - Small Hydro Project

Small Hydro Turbine Characteristics	Estimate	Notes/Range
Design flow	m ³ /s 10	See <i>Energy Model</i> sheet
Turbine type	- Kaplan	See Product Database
Turbine efficiency curve data source	- User-defined	See manual
Number of turbines	turbine 1	See manual
Small hydro turbine manufacture	- AA	See product database
Small hydro turbine model	- OO	See product database
Turbine manufacture/design coefficient	- 4.5	2.8 to 6.1; Default = 4.5
Efficiency adjustment	% 0%	Project specific

Turbine Efficiency Curve Data

Flow (%)	Turbine efficiency	Turbines	Combined efficiency
0%	0.00	0	0.00
5%	0.00	1	0.00
10%	0.00	1	0.00
15%	0.08	1	0.08
20%	0.42	1	0.42
25%	0.64	1	0.64
30%	0.78	1	0.78
35%	0.85	1	0.85
40%	0.90	1	0.90
45%	0.92	1	0.92
50%	0.92	1	0.92
55%	0.93	1	0.93
60%	0.93	1	0.93
65%	0.93	1	0.93
70%	0.93	1	0.93
75%	0.93	1	0.93
80%	0.93	1	0.93
85%	0.93	1	0.93
90%	0.93	1	0.93
95%	0.93	1	0.93
100%	0.92	1	0.92

Efficiency Curve - 1 Turbine(s)



Turbine efficiency at design flow

% 92.4%

Turbine type specific

[Return to Energy Model sheet](#)

Cost Analysis

RETScreen™ Cost Analysis - Small Hydro Project

Costing method: Detailed

Initial Costs	Unit	Quantity	Unit Cost	Amount	% of Total Costs	Quantity Range	Unit Cost Range
Feasibility Study							
Site investigation	p-d	60	\$ 600	\$ 36,000	0.40%	10 - 400	\$400 - \$600
Hydrologic assessment	p-d	25	\$ 500	\$ 12,500	0.14%	5 - 100	\$500 - \$700
Environmental assessment	p-d	60	\$ 500	\$ 30,000	0.33%	Project specific	\$400 - \$600
Preliminary design	p-d	25	\$ 500	\$ 12,500	0.14%	10 - 100	\$500 - \$700
Detailed cost estimate	p-d	20	\$ 600	\$ 12,000	0.13%	5 - 50	\$500 - \$700
Report preparation	p-d	10	\$ 700	\$ 7,000	0.08%	3 - 50	\$500 - \$700
Project management	p-d	10	\$ 700	\$ 7,000	0.08%	5 - 50	\$500 - \$700
Travel and accommodation	p-trip	4	\$ 2,500	\$ 10,000	0.11%	2 - 10	See manual
Other	-	0	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	-	User defined
Credit	-	-1	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	-	See manual
Subtotal:				\$ 127,000	1.42%		
Development							
PPA negotiation	p-d	20	\$ 1,000	\$ 20,000	0.22%	5 - 200	\$700 - \$1,500
Permits and approvals	p-d	25	\$ 700	\$ 17,500	0.20%	5 - 100	\$500 - \$700
Land rights	site	0	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	Project specific	See manual
Land survey	p-d	28	\$ 500	\$ 14,000	0.16%	20 - 200	\$400 - \$600
Project financing	p-d	30	\$ 1,500	\$ 45,000	0.50%	5 - 100	\$500 - \$1,500
Legal and accounting	p-d	25	\$ 1,200	\$ 30,000	0.33%	5 - 200	\$500 - \$1,500
Project management	p-yr	0.2	\$ 130,000	\$ 26,000	0.29%	0.2 - 2.0	\$130K - \$180K
Travel and accommodation	p-trip	10	\$ 2,500	\$ 25,000	0.28%	2 - 10	See manual
Other	-	0	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	-	User defined
Credit	-	-1	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	-	See manual
Subtotal:				\$ 177,500	1.98%		
Engineering							
Design and tender documents	p-yr	0.7	\$ 130,000	\$ 91,000	1.01%	0.6 - 6.0	\$130K - \$180K
Contracting	p-d	50	\$ 700	\$ 35,000	0.39%	5 - 200	\$500 - \$1,500
Construction supervision	p-yr	0.5	\$ 130,000	\$ 65,000	0.72%	0.2 - 2.0	\$130K - \$180K
Other	-	0	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	-	User defined
Credit	-	-1	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	-	See manual
Subtotal:				\$ 191,000	2.13%		
Renewable Energy (RE) Equipment							
Turbines/generators, controls	kW	2,507	\$ 1,700	\$ 4,262,197	47.50%	Project specific	\$1,000 - \$4,000
Equipment installation	%	10%	\$ 4,262,197	\$ 426,220	4.75%	5% - 45%	Project specific
Transportation	%	10%	\$ 4,262,197	\$ 426,220	4.75%	1% - 20%	Project specific
Other	-	0	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	-	User defined
Credit	-	-1	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	-	See manual
Subtotal:				\$ 5,114,636	57.01%		

Cost Analysis (cont'd)

Balance of Plant							
Access Road	km	10.0	\$ 20,000	\$ 200,000	2.23%	Project specific	\$20K - \$500K
Concrete dam	m ³	300	\$ 800	\$ 240,000	2.67%	Project specific	\$400 - \$1,600
Timber crib dam	m ³	0	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	Project specific	\$100 - \$500
Earthfill dam	m ³	0	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	Project specific	\$30 - \$90
Dewatering	%	10%	\$ 240,000	\$ 24,000	0.27%	5% - 15%	Project specific
Spillway	m ³	0	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	Project specific	\$400 - \$1,600
Canal	m ³	0	\$ 250	\$ -	0.00%	Project specific	\$20 - \$400
Intake	m ³	90	\$ 1,200	\$ 108,000	1.20%	See manual	\$400 - \$1,600
Tunnel	m ³	0	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	Project specific	\$40 - \$150
Pipeline/penstock	kg	10,000	\$ 10	\$ 100,000	1.11%	Project specific	\$5 - \$10
Powerhouse civil	m ³	180	\$ 1,200	\$ 216,000	2.41%	Project specific	\$400 - \$1,600
Fishway	m lift	0.0	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	See manual	\$4K - \$20K
Transmission line and subst	km	10.0	\$ 75,000	\$ 750,000	8.36%	Project specific	See manual
Transportation	%	8%	\$ 1,638,000	\$ 131,040	1.46%	See manual	Project specific
Other	-	0	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	-	User defined
Credit	-	-1	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	-	See manual
Subtotal:				\$ 1,769,040	19.72%		
Miscellaneous							
Special equipment	project	0	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	1	See manual
Contractor's overhead	%	10%	\$ 1,769,040	\$ 176,904	1.97%	10% - 100%	Project specific
Training	p-d	20	\$ 700	\$ 14,000	0.16%	5 - 100	\$500 - \$800
Interest during construction	%	4.0%	\$ 7,379,176	\$ 295,167	3.29%	3% - 15%	Project specific
Contingencies	%	15%	\$ 7,379,176	\$ 1,106,876	12.34%	10% - 40%	Project specific
Credit	-	-1	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	-	See manual
Subtotal:				\$ 1,592,948	17.75%		
Initial Costs - Total (Detailed Costing Method)				\$ 8,972,124	100%		
Annual Costs							
	Unit	Quantity	Unit Cost	Amount	Relative Costs	Quantity Range	Unit Cost Range
O&M							
Land lease	project	1	\$ -	\$ -	0.0%	Project specific	\$0 - \$2,000
Property taxes	%	0.0%	\$ 8,972,124	\$ -	0.0%	0% - 0.6%	Project specific
Water rental	kW	2,507	\$ -	\$ -	0.0%	Project specific	\$0 - \$20
Insurance premiums	%	0.40%	\$ 8,972,124	\$ 35,888	21.3%	0.25% - 1.0%	Project specific
Transmission line maintenanc	%	3.0%	\$ 750,000	\$ 22,500	13.4%	3% - 6%	Project specific
Spare parts	%	0.50%	\$ 8,972,124	\$ 44,861	26.6%	0.5% - 1%	Project specific
O&M labour	p-yr	0.75	\$ 40,000	\$ 30,000	17.8%	0.2 - 1.0	\$40K - \$80K
Travel and accommodation	p-trip	6	\$ 1,000	\$ 6,000	3.6%	2 - 10	\$500 - \$10,000
General and administrative	%	10%	\$ 139,249	\$ 13,925	8.3%	1% - 20%	Project specific
Other	-	0	\$ -	\$ -	0.0%	-	User defined
Contingencies	%	10%	\$ 153,174	\$ 15,317	9.1%	10% - 20%	Project specific
Annual Costs - Total				\$ 168,491	100%		

Financial Summary

RETScreen™ Financial Summary - Small Hydro Project

Energy Balance

Project name		Example			
Renewable energy delivered	MWh	2,845	Peak load	kW	1,146
Excess RE available	MWh	5,246	Energy demand	MWh	5,065
Firm RE capacity	kW	-	Type of energy displaced	-	Electricity

Financial Parameters

Avoided cost of energy	\$/MWh	200.0	Discount rate	%	10.5%
Avoided cost of excess ene	\$/MWh	18.0	Debt ratio	%	90.0%
Avoided cost of capacity	\$/kW-yr	110.0	Debt interest rate	%	7.5%
Inflation	%	2.5%	Debt term	yr	25
Energy cost escalation rate	%	4.0%	Project life	yr	35

Project Costs and Savings

Initial Costs		Annual Costs	
Feasibility study	\$ 127,000	O&M	\$ 168,491
Development	\$ 177,500	Fuel/Electricity	\$ -
Engineering	\$ 191,000	Debt payments (25 years)	\$ 724,406
RE equipment	\$ 5,114,636	Annual Costs - Total	\$ 892,897
Balance of plant	\$ 1,769,040	Annual Savings (or Income)	
Miscellaneous	\$ 1,592,948	Energy savings (or income)	\$ 663,342
Initial Costs - Total	\$ 8,972,124	Capacity savings (or income)	\$ -
		Annual Savings - Total	\$ 663,342

Financial Feasibility

Internal Rate of Return (IRR)	%	10.8%	Project equity	\$	897,212
Simple Payback	yr	18.1	Project debt	\$	8,074,912
Year-to-positive cash flow	yr	18.3	Debt payments	\$/yr	724,406
Net Present Value (NPV)	\$	110,839	Debt service coverage	-	0.7
PV of annual costs	\$	(8,333,843)	Annual Life Cycle Savings	\$	12,003
PV of energy savings	\$	9,341,895			
PV of capacity savings	\$	-			

Yearly Cash Flows

Year	Net Flow	Cumulative
#	\$	\$
0	(897,212)	(897,212)
1	(207,233)	(1,104,446)
2	(183,956)	(1,288,402)
3	(159,683)	(1,448,084)
4	(134,372)	(1,582,456)
5	(107,981)	(1,690,437)
6	(80,464)	(1,770,902)
7	(51,776)	(1,822,678)
8	(21,866)	(1,844,544)
9	9,315	(1,835,229)
10	41,820	(1,793,410)
11	75,704	(1,717,706)
12	111,025	(1,606,681)
13	147,841	(1,458,840)
14	186,215	(1,272,626)
15	226,211	(1,046,415)
16	267,896	(778,519)
17	311,340	(467,180)
18	356,615	(110,565)
19	403,798	293,233
20	452,966	746,199
21	504,203	1,250,402
22	557,592	1,807,993
23	613,223	2,421,216
24	671,188	3,092,404
25	731,583	3,823,987
26	1,518,914	5,342,900
27	1,584,473	6,927,373
28	1,652,775	8,580,148
29	1,723,932	10,304,079
30	1,798,061	12,102,140
31	1,875,285	13,977,425
32	1,955,730	15,933,155
33	2,039,529	17,972,683
34	2,126,819	20,099,502
35	2,217,743	22,317,246

13 Appendix 3 – Prophete Database, Inventory of potential sites for micro power stations

I - Objectives

The PROPHETE database, implemented in 1984 on behalf of the A.F.M.E. and set up on the VAX 1 computer belonging to B.R.G.M. is a very flexible conversational tool enabling the user to:

- prospect the hydraulic or hydroelectric resource of a large number of sites in a region in a very broad way
- make a rapid study of one or several sites that he knows
- analyse a micro power station project by making use of diversified hydrological and technical hypotheses.

The retained time step (monthly) allows the map of a region or department to be refined very rapidly. On the map for a particular project, taking into account the specific constraints (hydrological, technical), a specific more detailed study – with a daily time step, for example – can be undertaken, the results of the inquiry on the database only being accurate to within 15%, taking into consideration the time step used.

Depending on his requirements the user will have recourse, to a greater or lesser degree, to the possibilities on offer (calculation of the flows to the site from one or several stations, optimization of the equipment flow), or equally well will use, to a greater or lesser degree, the default options offered and the standard rates for his inquiry on the database.

II – Hydrometric Database

Until October 1986 the reconstruction of the flows to the site were using the monthly data from 1,904 hydrometric stations representing approximately 22,000 station-years. The updating of the hydrometric data, has made it possible to include 256 new stations, which is reflected in a database that at present contains 2,160 hydrometric stations and around 25,000 station-years.

III – Parameters to be Entered

The project parameters to be supplied by the user are the height of the fall to be equipped, the reserved flow from the watercourse and the output from the turbine. The calculation of the receipts, if the user so requires, demands in addition the selection of a type of fixed price scale for sales or the purchase of electricity from EDF and the selection of possible auto-consumption of the electricity produced.

IV – Inquiries on the Database

Inquires on the database are performed in two linked stages.

- 1 - Evaluation of the monthly flows available to the site in m³/sec
- 2 – Calculation of the potential output and sales receipts and their variations

The first stage can be carried out in two possible ways:

1. Over the whole of France constructing the flows to the site with regard to the surfaces of the river basin between the site and a station selected by the user from those nearest it. This method requires a knowledge of the surface area controlled by the site. The use of the surface module allows this surface area to be calculated in every case, except for a site situated in areas controlled by the Agences Artois-Picardie and the Rhone-Mediterranee-Corse.

For the user's part this module requires him to enter the Lambert co-ordinates for the site. It then works out:

- Firstly, the hydrological zone where the site is to be found (for which it is necessary to have digitized all the contours of the zones)
 - Next, the surface area $S_{AM} + S_{SI}$. This module has been updated under a contract between the A.F.M.E. and the Compagnie Francaise de Geothermie.
2. Over 4 test regions, constructing the flows to the site from the rains and potential evaporation/transpiration by use of a hydrological model of the rains-flows type. The surface area controlled by the site is then calculated automatically.

This method gives more stable results, however there is less choice of hydrometric reference stations.

The test regions: Brittany, Vienne-Haute-Vienne-Creuse, Loire-Haute-Loire-Puy-de-Dome and Var-Alpes-Maritimes have been processed within the framework of further contracts.

The user will have previously been able to consult the directory of river falls in order to select the site which interests him. This directory at present only covers the department of Brittany and those of the Vienne, Haute-Vienne and Creuse.

V – Results Obtained

The results obtained are displayed on the screen of the terminal and depending on the selection made by the user cover:

- either *the evaluation of the flows to the site*: characteristics of the station used in the evaluation of the flows: median monthly flows, dry and wet quintiles and monthly flows for all the years captured.

- *Or the calculation of the hydroelectric production and sales receipts: median production, dry and wet quintiles, possible optimization of the flow equipment and the corresponding production.*

If the user wishes, the same elements can be obtained for the receipts as for production, with the possibility of maximizing them – optimization of the equipment flow. All these results can equally well be presented on a listing.

VI – Conclusion

This database offers the advantage of a very high speed and ease of use. Furthermore, it allows the variability of the resource from year to year to be taken into consideration, a necessary element for the correct dimensioning of micro power stations.

References

- "Inventory of potential sites for micro power stations
PROPHETE database
 - Acquisition of the databases
 - Study of two pilot regions – Creation of the inquiry software package"
 BRGM report nos. 85 SGN 461 EAU and 85 SGN 470 EAU
- "Inventory of potential sites for micro power stations
PROPHETE database
 - Updating of the databases
 - Study of the third pilot regions (puy-de-Dome, Loire, Haute-Loire)"
 BRGM report nos. 85 SGN 517 EAU

Seminaire D'Evaluation Petites Centrales Hydroelectriques (PCH)

OBJECTIFS

La banque de données PROPHETE mise au point en 1984 pour le compte de l'A.F.M.E. (contrat no. 33101457) et implantée sur l'ordinateur VAX 1 due B.R.G.M., est un outil d'emploi conversationnel très souple permettant à un utilisateur:

- de prospecter de manière très large la ressource hydraulique ou hydroélectrique d'un grand nombre de site dans une région:
- d'étudier rapidement un ou plusieurs sites qu'il connaît:
- d'analyser un projet de microcentrale en faisant jouer des hypothèses hydrologiques et techniques diversifiées.

Le pas de temps retenu (mensuel) permet un dégrossissage très rapide à l'échelle d'une région ou d'un département. A l'échelle d'un projet particulier, et compte tenu des contraintes spécifiques (hydrologiques, techniques), une étude particulière plus détaillée - à un pas de temps

journaliser, par exemple - peut être entreprise, les résultats de l'interrogation de la banque ne dormant qu'une approximation valable à environ 15%, compte tenu du pas de temps utilisé.

Selon son besoin, l'utilisateur aura recours plus ou moins largement aux possibilités offertes (calcul des débits au site à partir de plusieurs stations, optimisation du débit d'équipement), ou bien utilisera plus ou moins largement des options proposées par défaut et de tarifs standard pour son interrogation de la banque de données.

II - BASE DE DONNÉES HYDROMÉTRIQUE

La reconstitution des débits au site utilisait, jusqu'en octobre 1986, les données mensuelles de 1904 stations hydrométriques représentant environ 22000 années stations. La mise à jour des données hydrométriques prévue dans le contrat 4-310-19000, a permis d'inclure 256 nouvelles stations, ce qui correspond à une base de données comprenant, à l'heure actuelle, 2160 stations hydrométriques et environ 25000 années stations.

III - PARAMÈTRES À INTRODUIRE

Les paramètres du projet à fournir par l'utilisateur sont la hauteur de chute à équiper, le débit réservé du cours d'eau et le rendement de la turbine. Le calcul des recettes, si l'utilisateur le demande, exige, en outre, le choix d'un type de tarification de vente ou d'achat de l'électricité à EDF et le choix d'une auto-consommation éventuelle de l'électricité produite.

IV - INTERROGATION DE LA BANQUE

Les interrogations de la banque de données se déroulent en deux étapes enchaînées:

1. Evaluation des débits mensuels en m³/s disponibles au site (module VOISINSIT, ou bien modules BASSINSIT et PLUIDEBI):
2. Calcul des productions et des recettes de vente potentielles et de leur variation (module ARMELBANK).

La première étape peut se dérouler suivant deux schémas possibles:

- sur toute La France, reconstitution des débits au site par rapport des surfaces de bassin versant entre le site et une station choisie par l'utilisateur parmi celles les plus proches de celui-ci (module VOISINSIT). Ce schéma nécessite la connaissance de la superficie contrôlée par le site. L'utilisation du module surface permet le calcul de cette superficie dans tous les cas, sauf pour un site situé dans les territoires contrôlés par les agences ArtoisPicardie et Rhône-Méditerranée-Corse.

Ce module nécessite, de la part de l'utilisateur, l'entrée des coordonnées LAMBERT du site, Il détermine alors: - tout d'abord, la zone hydrologique où se

trouve le site (d'où la nécessité d'avoir digitalisé l'ensemble des contours des zones); - ensuite, la superficie S,&m en amont de la zone où se trouve le site; - enfin, la portion de la zone contenant le site contrôlée par celui-ci (**SSI**). La superficie totale S vaut alors S,m + **SSI** (voir fig. 1 et 2 jointes). Ce module a été mis au point dans le cadre du contrat CFG 1985 passé entre l'A.F.M.E. et le Compagnie Française de Géothermie.

- Sur 4 régions-tests, reconstitution des débits au site à partir des pluies et évapotranspirations potentielles par modèle hydrologique de type pluiesdébits (modules BASSINSIT et PLUIEDEBI). La superficie contrôlée par le site est alors calculée automatiquement.

Cette méthode donne des résultats plus stables, dépendant moins du choix de la station hydrométrique de référence.

Les régions tests Bretagne, Vienne-Haute-Vienne-Creuse, Loire-Haute-Loire-Puy-de-Dôme et Var-Alpes-Maritimes ont été traitées dans le cadre des contrats respectivement 3-310-1458, 4-310-1900 et CFG 1985.

L'utilisateur aura pu, au préalable, consulter le répertoire des chutes afin de sélectionner le site qui l'intéresse (module CHOICHUTE). Ce répertoire des chutes ne concerne, à l'heure actuelle, que les départements bretons et ceux de la Vienne, Haute-Vienne et Creuse.

V - RESULTATS FOURNIS

Les résultats obtenus à l'affichage sur l'écran du terminal concernent, suivant le choix de l'utilisateur:

- *soit l'évaluation des débits au site*: caractéristiques de la station ayant servi à l'évaluation des débits, débits mensuels médians, quintiles secs et humides et débits mensuels de toutes les années reconstituées.

- *soit le calcul des productions hydroélectriques et des recettes de vente*: production médiane, quintiles secs et humides, optimisation éventuelle du débit d'équipement et productions correspondantes.

Si l'utilisateur le souhaite, les mêmes éléments que pour les productions peuvent être obtenus pour les recettes, avec une maximisation éventuelle de celles-ci -optimisation du débit d'équipement-, . L'ensemble de ces résultats est également disponible sur listing.

VI - CONCLUSION

Cette banque de données présente l'avantage d'une très grande rapidité et facilité d'usage. Elle permet, en outre, de prendre en compte la variabilité interannuelle de la ressource, élément nécessaire à un bon dimensionnement de microcentrales.

14 Appendix 4 - PEACH 2.0, Analyse préliminaire des aménagements hydroélectriques

© ISL Bureau d'Ingénieurs Conseils

Start a PEACH Study

Starting Peach, the main windows are displayed with a void layout bar.

Before starting the PEACH study, you might change the PEACH options, especially the active language.

You are assumed to be working with the already defined START1 database. If not, you should start by creating a new database.

A Peach study starts with database selection.

Once a database has been selected, the active project is displayed by the layout bar.

The next step is to create a new site.

Once this operation has been performed, the layout bar displays the new site. The *Parameters* and the *Project* boxes remain void.

You might then proceed with Step 1

Step 1 – Site Data Definition

Site data correspond to: one or several *Flow duration curves*, one or several *Valley descriptions*, a *Unit cost* list.

These data are specific to a *Site* and therefore to every project of this site.

First define a Flow Duration Curve. This curve should refer to the site hydrology at intake; it will be used to compute the project power curves. You might define several FDCs; the FDC selection for a specific project is made in Step 2 (Design parameters definition).

You might then create one or several Valley descriptions. Two valley descriptions are usually used in a project:

- the weir valley cross-section (in case your project includes a weir) will be used for weir design;
- the plant downstream cross-section allows to account for a variable downstream water level; in case you choose to limit you to a constant D/S water level, the cross-section is redundant.

You can also define several valley descriptions; the valley descriptions relevant to a specific project are selected in Step 2.

Finally, there is a Unit Cost list. Several lists might be defined.

With the completion of Step 1, the site you have created is fully defined. The site data can be modified or completed at any time.

You might now proceed with Step 2: the project definition (Step 2)

Step 2 – Project Creation

The project creation consists of two sub-steps. The first is Design parameter definition; the second is Project definition as a complex of basic structures.

The first sub-step is then Design parameters definition. The Design parameters are independent of the project itself: a project might be designed according to various *Design parameter sets*. For more details, the user is referred to PEACH's database organisation layout.

Once a *Design parameter set* has been defined, the layout bar is updated and displays the new set. Before any project is considered, a first site potential estimate is provided by the power curve.

The second sub-step defines the project. Defining a project means putting together its basic structures.

All structure requested for the project design are then operative. You might proceed with Step 3: project design (Step 3).

Step 3 – Project Design

The project design involves design of each one of the structures it comprises. Structures are designed according to the current design parameter set.

The *Project layout* window allows a permanent project overview during the design process. Clicking on the corresponding icon from the project layout window starts the structure design.

To start the project design. Choose layout from Project menu.

To access the structure design dialog boxes, click on the *Structures* tabsheet. The structures are designed from upstream to downstream. The structure design always follows the same procedure.

Special attention is given to the plant design, which is described in Step 4

Step 4 – Plant Design

The plant design includes selection of equipment and civil structures design. Both outdoor and underground plant can be selected.

To start the plant design, click the plant icon from the project *Layout* dialog box.

The first sub-step is equipment selection according to the site conditions. A turbine selection assistant helps select this equipment.

Once turbines have been selected, the plant structure can be designed on a pre-feasibility level.

With the plant designed, the entire project design is completed. The next step is economic and financial analysis (Step 5).

Step 5 – Economic and Financial Analysis

The economic and financial analysis consists of five sub-steps

The first sub-step is power-curve update.

Then proceed with project synopsis that puts together the project design results (costs, head losses).

The third step is equivalent thermal plant definition.

The economic analysis is performed through a comparison between the hydro project and the equivalent thermal plant.

The financial analysis analysis allows to take into account the electricity sale terms and consider the possibilities for financing.

The PEACH project study is then complete. The last step is report edition (Step 6).

Step 6 – Report Edition

Two types of results can be reported, edited and printed out;

- interim results, especially the structure layouts and graphs (power curve, flow duration curve, etc.)
and
- a final report.


The final report can be customized.

Valley description


Valley description includes :

- valley cross-sections
- hydraulic parameters


Valley cross-section



Hydraulic parameters



Flow Duration Curve



Unit Costs

A Unit Costs list is given by the user. This list is used for all project alternatives on a given site. Two definition levels are provided :

- a unique list for all structures,
- a structure specific list, taking into account the component specific conditions (for instance, different concrete costs for intake and powerplant).




Description	Unit	Unit cost
Soil excavation in open cut	m ²	4.00 US\$
Rock excavation in open cut	m ²	18.00 US\$
Mass concrete	m ²	200.00 US\$
Gate	kg	6.00 US\$
Trashrack	kg	6.00 US\$

Project definition

Project definition consists of gathering basic structures.

Project structures


Upstream structures

 weir,  intake,  sand trap,

Waterways

 canal,  tunnels (free flow, pressure),  surge tank,  penstock,  lined shaft,




Regulation structures

 headpond (fill dam),

Powerplants

 outdoor plant,  underground plant,

Other structures

 transmission lines,  access road,  gates, trashracks, ...


Structure design

Design parameters

Design parameters are defined in a specific dialogbox and include technical, hydraulic and economical data. These parameters will be used for designing each project component :

- hydraulic computations,
- economic structure optimization (tunnels and penstocks diameter),
- equipment selection,
- ...


Technical datas



The screenshot shows the 'Design parameters set' dialog box with the 'Technical parameters' tab selected. The 'Name' field contains '50 m3/s design discharge'. The 'Description' field is empty. The 'Technical parameters' section includes:

- U/S D/S** (selected):
 - Upstream level:
 - Normal water elevation: 1173
 - Variable upstream level
 - Spillway length
 - Valley cross-section D/S spillway
- Downstream level**:
 - Constant
 - Valley cross-section: vallée au droit


Hydraulic parameters



The screenshot shows the 'Design parameters set' dialog box with the 'Hydraulic parameters' tab selected. The 'Name' field contains '50 m3/s design discharge'. The 'Description' field is empty. The 'Hydraulic parameters' section includes:

- U/S D/S** (selected):
 - Energy value: 0.08
 - Discount rate: 12
 - Project economic life: 50
 - Unit costs list: prix unitaires d

Economic parameters



Project layout

The Project Layout window provides a permanent overview on the project during the design process :

- structure status (designed or not),
- structure costs,
- head losses.


The left part of the window shows each project component. A green or red mark indicates whether the structure is fully designed or not. A check of the head line continuity is made at this stage.

The right part of the window gives the main design results.

Costs table

Name	Total	(%)
upst. weir	7.572 MUS\$	14 %
right bank intake	0.861 MUS\$	2 %
right bank basin	1.402 MUS\$	3 %
right bank canal	8.491 MUS\$	16 %
penstock	6.672 MUS\$	12 %
Francis powerplant	22.65 MUS\$	41 %
access site-Asosa	6.967 MUS\$	13 %

Head line



Canal design

[Basic data](#), [Costs](#), [Sketch](#), [Options](#), [Results](#)

Basic data

The screenshot shows a software window titled "Canal definition - right bank canal (50 m3/s design discharge)". The window has several tabs: "Data", "Prices", "Sketch", "Optional data", and "Detailed results". The "Data" tab is active, showing the following data input fields:

Geometrical data		
Length	Altitude	Transv. slope
2000	1173	0.2
3000	1172	0.1

Batters

- Excavation batter: 1
- Canal banks batter: 1.5
- Fill batter: 1.5

Hydraulic data

- Strickler coefficient: 75

Geotechnical data

- % of Rock: 20

Calculations ...

At the bottom of the window, there are several buttons: OK, Cancel, Delete, Import..., Print, and Help.

Options

Canal definition - RB canal (Qe 50 m3/s)

Data | Prices | Sketch | Optional data | Detailed results

Lining Max. flow velocity

design option


canal slope


head losses

velocity

Optimal water depth Water depth

Freeboard Berm width

 Canal width




Bill of quantities

Canal definition - RB canal (Qe 50 m3/s)


Data | Prices | Sketch | Optional data | Detailed results

Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit cost	Cost
Soil excavation in open cut	141000	m³	3,00 US\$	423 000,00 US\$
Rock excavation in open cut	35300	m³	15,00 US\$	529 500,00 US\$
Fill	48400	m³	5,00 US\$	242 000,00 US\$
Canal lining	93300	m²	60,00 US\$	5 598 000,00 US\$

Sketch



Results



Powerplant design

Equipment definition

An on-line assistant helps the user to define and select suitable electromechanical equipment sets :

- turbine type,
- number of units,
- specific speed (number of poles, speed increaser).

Peach allows to check the equipment range of use and gives efficiency and production curves.

Turbine selection


The screenshot shows a software window titled "Powerplant definition - Francis powerplant (50 m3/s design discharge)". The window has several tabs: "Data", "Prices", "Sketch", "Optional data", and "Turbine selection". The "Turbine selection" tab is active. It contains the following parameters and values:

Number of units	2	Type	FRANCIS D
Number of poles	20		
Speed increaser coef.	1		
Unit discharge	25 m3/s	Turbine diameter	1912 mm
Unit capacity	25898 kW	diam générateur	5.91 m
Generator speed	300 tr/min	poids générateur	794.27 kN
Turbine speed	300 tr/min	Suction head	1.15 m
Specific speed	118		


A button labeled "Select this setting" is located below the specific speed parameter.

At the bottom of the window, there are navigation buttons: "Data", "Range of use", and "Efficiency". Below these are navigation arrows (left, right, home, end) and a "+" sign. At the very bottom, there are action buttons: "OK", "Cancel", "Delete", "Import...", "Print", and "Help".

Range of use



Plant efficiency and power curve



Plant structural design

Basic data

Powerplant definition - Francis powerplant (50 m3/s design discharge)

Data | Prices | Sketch | Optional data | Turbine selection

Turbine		Niveaux	
Type	FRANCIS D	Valley cross-section	
Number of units	2	Max. discharge	
Number of poles	20	Max. D/S water elevation	1051.84
Speed increaser coef.	1	Etiage water elevation	1050.21
Turbine diameter	1.906	Floor elevation	1045
Generator diameter	5.89	Ground elevation	1055
Generator weight	787.43		
<input type="checkbox"/> Vertical axis			
Geotechnical data		Calculations ...	
% of Rock	50		


Options

Powerplant definition - Francis powerplant (50 m3/s design discharge)

Data | Prices | Sketch | Optional data | Turbine selection

Plant height	11.53	Grid frequency	50
Plant width	10.32	Net head	
Distance between unit axes	13.4	Eff. of generator	
Plant length	28.02		
Roof thickness	0.52	Empirical concrete volume	
Sidewall min. thickness	0.52	Cavitation	
Sidewall max. thickness	1.2		
Tailrace channel length	22.93		
Tailrace channel height	2.86		
Tailrace channel width	7.24		
Tailrace channel height at exit	1.91		
Floor thickness	0.8		
Tailrace channel thickness	0.8		

Sketch




Economic analysis

This analysis compares the hydropower project with an equivalent thermal plant and computes the Cash-flow diagram and the Net present value curve.


Results of the analysis are :

- internal rate of return,
- net present value,
- energy cost,
- benefit-cost ratio.

Cash-flow



Net present value



Financial analysis


Financial analysis compares costs and revenue, taking into account loan conditions. Results are given in terms of :

- cash flow diagram,
- internal rate of return,
- net present value,
- benefit cost ratio.


Loans


Amount M	Interest rate	1st year	Exemption period	Financing period	Derive of currencies
6	3	-3	10	25	0
6	10	-3	0	25	0
16.5	3	-2	10	25	0
16.5	10	-2	0	25	0
8	3	-1	10	25	0
8	10	-1	0	25	0

Cash-Flow



Net present value






Riprap	166	366 m ³	36 666
Cuof	766	8 266 m ³	6 266 666
Hisa concrete	166	466 666 m ³	122 466 666
Total			127 466 666
Contingencia	15%		26 227 425
Label	Ud. d'ara		127 466 666
Brida			
	Unitat cod. FF	Quantitat	Cost (FF)
Roch escavada in opor cas	56	22 466 m ³	1 126 666
Sotio escavada in opor cas	15	3 566 m ³	59 256
Tot fract	0	52 566 kg	3 766 666
Qua	0	1 766 kg	1 666 666
Hisa concrete	166	2 166 m ³	1 617 666
Total			8 194 256
Contingencia	15%		1 229 138
Label	Unitat		9 423 394
Paratze arial			
	Unitat cod. FF	Quantitat	Cost (FF)
lineaments acurats, good mch	266	56 166 m ³	11 226 666
lineaments acurats, poor mch	566	66 666 m ³	12 566 666
Exhous bar	56	116 666 m	6 756 666
Rafines concrete	1 566	14 766 m ³	52 666 666
lineaments acurats, surgered	166	121 666 m ³	36 166 666
Total			138 426 666
Contingencia	20%		27 726 666
Label	Unitat de arial		166 153 332
Singelat			
	Unitat cod. FF	Quantitat	Cost (FF)
lineaments acurats, surgered	166	3 116 m ³	1 116 666
Rafines concrete	1 566	2 466 m ³	3 696 666
lineaments acurats, good mch	266	12 666 m ³	2 566 666
lineaments acurats, poor mch	566	1 366 m ³	396 666
Total			8 181 666
Contingencia	20%		1 676 332
Label	Unitat de arial		9 858 000
Linea d'aj			
	Unitat cod. FF	Quantitat	Cost (FF)
Hisa concrete	166	471 m ³	261 166
lineaments acurats, surgered	166	1 196 m ³	157 666
lineaments acurats, poor mch	566	761 m ³	156 566
lineaments acurats, good mch	266	1 666 m ³	216 666
Steel appor	26	18 666 kg	4 166 666

On-line assistance

Peach gives :

- a comprehensive on-line assistance, including technical references,
- step-by-step assistances, which guide the user throughout Peach procedures.

Step-by-step assistant



Peach

Prices

Software license





	Price
Company	
1 software license	30 000FRF
2 software licenses	55 000FRF
3 software licenses	75 000FRF
More than 3 licenses	Contact Us
University (1)	
3 software licenses	30 000FRF

Options

1- Annual maintenance	7 500 FRF
2 – Technical assistance by email (per year)	6 000 FRF

(1) for education only

15 Appendix 5 – Hydra, The European Atlas of Small Hydropower Potential



**Flow Regime Report
Dolanog total flow**

Run date/time 27 February 1997 at 14.44


Catchment Characteristics file: c:\hydra\data\dolanog.ccf

Catchment Characteristics

Total Area: 70.7 km²
 Average rainfall 1622.5 mm
 Average potential evaporation: 438.5

Flow Regime Results

Q95 (% of mean) 9.8%
 Mean flow estimate: 2.65 m³/s
 Q95 0.26 m³/s



Hydra

Data Options Run Reports Nationality Quit

Flow Regime Results

Site:

Run Date / Time: 06 February 1997 at 14:00

Grid Zone: National Id:

Point order	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Flow	25.70	21.59	16.64	10.75	8.23	6.18	4.08	2.53	1.98
Probability of exceedance	2.00	3.00	5.00	10.00	15.00	20.04	29.06	39.67	50.00

Point order	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	
Flow	1.61	1.32	1.09	0.88	0.68	0.53	0.30	0.15	m3/s
Probability of exceedance	60.33	70.94	79.96	89.92	95.01	97.03	98.01	98.99	%


Mean Flow: m3/s

To alter, type new values in boxes: press 'Save' to retain changes

Flow Regime Results File:

Plot curve

Start | STB Vision 95 Icon ... | Microsoft Word | Netscape | Hydra | 15:26



EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE:

CHAIRMAN

Mr. Ulf Riise
Norwegian Electricity Federation
Association of Producers
P.O. Box 274
1324 Lysaker, NORWAY

INTERNATIONAL ENERGY AGENCY

Mr. Hanns-Joachim Neef
International Energy Agency
9, rue de la Fédération
75739 Paris, FRANCE

SECRETARY

Mr. Frans H. Koch
5450 Canotek Rd, Unit 53
Ottawa,
CANADA K1J 9G3
Tel: (1) 613 745-7553
Fax: (1) 613-747-0543
E-mail: fkoch@gvsc.on.ca

CANADA

Mr. Jacob Roiz
Canadian Electricity Assoc'n
1155 Metcalfe Street
Sun Life Bldg, Suite 1600
Montréal, H3B 2V6
CANADA

(alternate)

Mr. Tony Tung
Natural Resources Canada
580 Booth Street
Ottawa, Ont. K1A 0E4
CANADA

CHINA

Mr. Tong Jiandong
Hangzhou International Center on
Small Hydro Power
P.O. Box 607
4 Baisha Road
Hangzhou 310006
P.R. CHINA

FINLAND

Mr. Antti Aula
Kemijoki Oy
Valtakatu 9-11
P.O. Box 8131
FIN-96101 Rovaniemi
FINLAND

FRANCE

Mr. Gérard Casanova
Electricité de France
77, Chemin des Courses
31057 Toulouse, FRANCE

JAPAN

Mr. Shoichi Murakami
New Energy Foundation
Shuwa Kioicho Park Building 3-6,
kioicho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102
JAPAN

(alternate:)

Mr. Shinichi Sensyu
CRIEPI - Central Research
Institute of Electric Power Industry
6-1 Ohtemachi 1-chome, Chiyoda-
ku, Tokyo 100
JAPAN

NORWAY

Mr. Alf V. Adeler
NVE - Norwegian Water
Resources and Energy Directorate
P.O. Box 5091, Majorstua
N-0301 Oslo, NORWAY

SPAIN

Mr. Angel Luis Vivar
UNESA
Francisco Gervas 3
28020 Madrid, SPAIN

(alternate:)

Mr. Juan Sabater
ENDESA
Príncipe de Vergara 187
28002 Madrid, SPAIN

SWEDEN

Mr. Lars Hammar
Elforsk AB
101 53 Stockholm
SWEDEN

(alternate:)

Ms. Maria Malmkvist
Swedish National Energy
Administration
P.O. Box 310
SE-631 04 Eskilstuna
SWEDEN

UNITED KINGDOM

Mr. J. W. Craig
Energy Technology Support Unit
(ETSU)
Harwell, Didcot
Oxfordshire OX11 0RA
UNITED KINGDOM

(alternate:)

Mr. Eric M. Wilson
Wilson Energy Assoc. Ltd.
Sovereign House, Bramhall Centre
Bramhall, Stockport, Cheshire
SK7 1AW
UNITED KINGDOM

OPERATING AGENTS:

ANNEX 1

Mr. Jean-Paul Rigg
Hydro Québec
3320, F.X. Tessier
Vaudreuil-Dorion, (Québec)
CANADA J7V 5V5
E-mail: Rigg.jean-
paul@hydro.qc.ca

ANNEX 2

Mr. Tony Tung
Natural Resources Canada
580 Booth Street
Ottawa, Ont. K1A 0E4
CANADA
E-mail: tung@NRCan.gc.ca

ANNEX 3

Mr. Sverre Husebye
NVE - Norwegian Water
Resources and Energy Directorate
P.O. Box 5091, Majorstua
N-0301 Oslo, NORWAY
E-mail: shu@nve.no

ANNEX 5

Mr. Tore S. Jørgensen
International Centre for
Hydropower (ICH)
Kløbuveien 153
N-7465 Trondheim
NORWAY
E-mail: Tore.S.Jorgensen@
ich.ntnu.no